

Hierarchical visual case-based reasoning for supporting breast cancer therapy

Jean-Baptiste Lamy, Boomadevi Sekar, Gilles Guezennec, Jacques Bouaud, Brigitte Séroussi



This work was funded by the European Union's Horizon 2020 research and innovation program under grant agreement No 690238.

LIMICS Université Paris 13, 93017 Bobigny Sorbonne Universités INSERM UMRS 1142

1

Breast cancer

- One of the most common types of cancer that affects women in Europe
- ♦ High survival rate at 10 years
- Artificial Intelligence supports the diagnostic of breast cancer
 - Deep learning
 - SVM
 - Image analysis



Source: MedicalXPress

But supporting the therapy is more complex !!!

- Many treatments exist, with 4 main categories:
 - surgery, chemotherapy, endocrine therapy and radiotherapy
- Many clinical data need to be considered
 - Clinical data are often not structured, contrary to medical images
- Difficult to produce a learning base
 - For a patient, the best treatment is never known

The problem of explanations

- Physicians need to understand the rationale of a recommendation in order to follow it
- For diagnosis systems, an annotated image can make a decent explanation



Source: MIT

But for therapy, explanations are much more difficult to produce

And time is limited (3 minutes per patient in breast cancer unit)

=> Explainable Artificial Intelligence (XAI)

The DESIREE European H2020 project

 Decision Support and Information Management System for Breast Cancer degiree

Objectives:

- To help clinicians with the management of patient data and images
- To support primary breast cancer therapeutical decision

♦ A web-based platform with 3 decision-support modules:

- Clinical practice guidelines implementation using formal ontologies
- Statistical machine learning through rule-learning
- Case-based reasoning (CBR)

Case-based Reasoning (CBR)

- A form of analogical reasoning
 - No learning: CBR does not try to learn a model
 - Typical example: kNN (k nearest neighbor)

♦ 3 steps:

- Retrieve similar older cases from a database, including cases with known solutions
- Adapt their solutions to the new case
- Retain the new case in the case database

- In the therapeutic context
 - A case = a patient
 - A solution = a treatment



Case-based Reasoning (CBR)

- Particularly interesting for producing explanations (XAI)
- The old cases can be used as explanations
 - This way of reasoning is familiar to physicians

Explanations may consist is the presentation of 2-50 similar cases

◆ But 2-50 breast cancer patient records represent a huge volume of data!

♦ A solution is the use of information visualization for displaying the cases

An automatic/visual approach to CBR



- Displays case similarities (new case vs old ones)
- Qualitative similarity

Previous works

Quantitative similarity

rfim #5 = val # **Ouantitative** approach Qualitative approach Displays similarity Displays shared characteristics measures

[Lamy JB et al. Explainable artificial intelligence for breast cancer: a visual case-based reasoning approach. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine 2019]

Architecture

- Case database is a relational database
- HL7 FHIR standard is used for communication with the clinical platform
- Cases are retrieved using jColibri



Distance matrix

- Cases are retrieved using jColibri
 - Computes a distance matrix between cases

| | Query | Similar #1 | Similar #2 | Similar #3 | Similar #4 | Similar #5 | Similar #6 | Similar #7 |
|--------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| dim #1 | val #1 | val #1b | val #1c | val #1 | val #1d | val #1e | val #1f | val #1g |
| dim #2 | val #2a | val #2a | val #2b | val #2a | val #2d | val #2e | val #2b | val #2g |
| dim #3 | val #3 | val #3 | val #3 | val #3c | val #3d | val #3e | val #3f | val #3g |
| dim #4 | val #4 | val #4 | val #4 | val #4c | val #4d | val #4e | val #4 | val #4g |
| dim #5 | val #5a | val #5b | val #5c | val #5 | val #5 | val #5e | val #5f | val #5g |
| dim #6 | val #6 | val #6a | val #6b | val #6c | val #6d | val #6e | val #6f | val #6g |
| | | | | | | | | |

| | Query | Similar #1 | Similar #2 | Similar #3 | Similar #4 | Similar #5 | Similar #6 | Similar #7 |
|---------------|-------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| Query | - | | | | | | | |
| Similar #1 | 2.0 | - | | | | | | |
| Similar #2 | 2.1 | 1.5 | - | | | | | |
| Similar #3 | 2.0 | 5.0 | 4.8 | - | | | | |
| Similar #4 | 1.9 | 5.1 | 4.9 | 1.1 | - | | | |
| Similar #5 | 4.5 | 5.2 | 5.2 | 6.0 | 6.1 | - | | |
| Similar #6 | 4,2 | 1.7 | 1.8 | 5.5 | 5.6 | 5.5 | - | |
| Similar #7 | 2.0 | 5.3 | 5.1 | 5.4 | 5.3 | 3.1 | 5.2 | - |

Visualization of quantitative similarities



Similar patients treated by radiotherapy Scatter plot : 2D projection of the distance matrix

- \$ 1 dot = 1 patient
- Colors = classes
- Target facilitates distance evaluation

Various methods for scatter plot

MDS 5multi-Dimensional Scaling), PCA, tSNE,...

Here, 2 types of distances:

- A Between the new patient and a similar patient (more important!)
- ♦ B Between two similar patients

=> we used polar MDS

Preserve distances of type A to the detriment of those of type B

Polar MDS scatter plot



- Each similar case S is defined by their polar coordinates (L, θ)
- L is already known: it is the distance between S and O



- $\bullet \theta$ is determined by solving an optimization problem:
 - Find the best values θ that minimize the stress function:



=> no information loss for the distances involving the new patient

AFB metaheuristic

Artificial Feeding Birds (AFB)

[Lamy JB. Artificial Feeding Birds (AFB): a new metaheuristic inspired by the behavior of pigeons, Advances in nature-inspired computing and applications 2019, Springer]

- → Simple
- → Performant
- → Generic

Can solve any optimisation problem defined by a triplet of functions (cost(), fly(), walk())

Visualization of qualitative similarities

Rainbow boxes

- A recent set visualization technique
- Elements are patients
- Sets are shared characteristics
 - set of patients with "age > 60"
- Only the two major therapeutic decisions are kept
- Numeric values are discretized using the Minimum Description Length Principle (MDLP)
- Only the boxes with the highest Mutual Information (MI) are kept

| 1) | Query | Similar #1 | Similar #2 | Similar #3 | Similar #4 | Similar #5 | Similar #6 | Similar #7 |
|--------|---------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------------|
| dim #1 | val #1 | val #1b | val #1c | val #1 | val #1d | val #1e | val #1f | val #1g |
| dim #2 | val #2a | val #2a | val #2b | val #2a | val #2d | val #2e | val #2b | val #2g |
| dim #3 | val #3 | val #3 | val #3 | val #3c | val #3d | val #3e | val #3f | val #3g |
| dim #4 | val #4 | val #4 | val #4 | val #4c | val #4d | val #4e | val #4 | val #4g |
| dim #5 | val #5a | val #5b | val #5c | val #5 | val #5 | val #5e | val #5f | val #5g |
| dim #6 | val #6 | val #6a | val #6b | val #6c | val #6d | val #6e | val #6f | val #6g |
| | | | | | | | | |

| 2) | Similar #6 | Similar #2 | Similar #1 | Query | Similar #3 | Similar #4 |
|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|---------|---------------|---------------|
| dim #1 | val #1f | val #1c | val #1b | val #1 | val #1 | val #1d |
| dim #2 | val #2b | val #2b | val #2a | val #2a | val #2a | val #2d |
| dim #3 | val #3f | val #3 | val #3 | val #3 | val #3c | val #3d |
| dim #4 | val #4 | val #4 | val #4 | val #4 | val #4c | val #4d |
| dim #5 | val #5f | val #5c | val #5b | val #5a | val #5 | val #5 |
| dim #6 | val #6f | val #6b | val #6a | val #6 | val #6c | val #6d |
| | | | | | | |

| 3) | Similar #6 | Similar #2 | Similar #1 | Query | Similar #3 | Similar #4 |
|--------|---------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| dim #1 | val #1f | val #1c | val #1b | dim #1 : | = val #1 | val #1d |
| dim #2 | dim #2 = | val #2b | dir | n #2 = val # | val #2d | |
| dim #3 | val #3f | dir | val #3c | val #3d | | |
| dim #4 | | dim #4 | val #4c | val #4d | | |
| dim #5 | val #5f | val #5c | val #5b | val #5a | dim #5 | = val #5 |
| dim #6 | val #6f | val #6b | val #6a | val #6 | val #6c | val #6d |
| | | | | | | |

| 4) | Similar #6 | Similar #2 | Similar #1 | Query | Similar #3 | Similar #4 |
|----|------------------|---------------|---------------|--------------|---------------|---------------|
| | | | | dim #1 : | = val #1 | |
| | dim #2 = val #2b | | dir | n #2 = val # | 2a | |
| | | dir | n #3 = val | #3 | | |
| | | dim #4 | dim #5 : | = val #5 | | |

Rainbow boxes

Rainbow boxes : a recent technique for set visualization

- elements => columns
- sets => rectangular boxes
- color => one color per element
- box color is the mean of its elements color
- non continguous element in a set => box hole
- elements are ordered so as to minimize the number of holes
- box are stacked vertically by size

[Lamy JB et al. Rainbow boxes: a new technique for overlapping set visualization and two applications in the biomedical domain. Journal of Visual Language and Computing 2017] 14

Rainbow boxes for patient similarity

- Column height = similarity with the new patient
- Box height = importance of the box (MI)
- Box color = weighted mean of the header's color
 - Indicate toward which therapy orientates the box

Visual reasoning

Algorithmic translation of the visual reasoning

function classify(q, X, s, n, m):

q is the query case

X is the case database (we assume that $q \in X$)

s is the dissimilarity measure (a function taking 2 cases and returning their dissimilarity, e.g. Euclidean distance)

 $n \ge 2$ is the total number of cases considered (query + similar cases)

 $m \ge 1$ is the maximum number of boxes selected

For each case i in X, compute $s(q, X_i)$

Let X' be the set of selected cases, X' contains the n elements of X with the lowest dissimilarity s(q, i)We assume that $X'_1 = q$ and X'_2 to X'_n are the similar cases

Let d be the distance matrix between cases in X'For each case i in X': For each case j in X':

 $d_{i,j} = s(i,j)$

Let w be the weights of the similar cases

 $w_i = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{, if } s_{max} = s_{min} \\ \frac{s_{max} - s(q, X'_i)}{s_{max} - s_{min}} & \text{, otherwise} \\ \text{with } s_{min} = \min(s(q, X'_i)) \text{ and } s_{max} = \max(s(q, X'_i)) \end{cases}$

Let y_1 and y_2 be the two best classes in X' (determined by a majority vote over similar cases, weighted by w_i) Let X'' be the subset of X' displayed in rainbow boxes $X'' = X' \cap (\{q\} \cup y_1 \cup y_2)$

Let B be the set of candidates boxes (currently empty) For each dimension Z:

> If Z has numeric values: Discretize Z For each value v that Z takes in cases X'': If q has value v for dimension Z: Add $Zv = \{x \in X'' \mid x_Z = v\}$ into B

For each box Zv in B, compute $MI(Z_vY) = \sum_{z \in \{Z=v, Z \neq v\}} \sum_{y \in \{y_1, y_2\}} p(z, y) \log\left(\frac{p(z, y)}{p(z)p(y)}\right)$

with $p(y) = \frac{|y|}{|X'' \setminus \{q\}|}$, $p(Z = v) = \frac{|Z_v|}{|X'' \setminus \{q\}|}$, $p(Z \neq v) = \frac{|X'' \setminus Z_v|}{|X'' \setminus \{q\}|}$, $p(Z = v, y) = \frac{|Z_v \cap y|}{|X'' \setminus \{q\}|}$, $p(Z \neq v, y) = \frac{|(X'' \setminus Z_v) \cap y|}{|X'' \setminus \{q\}|}$ Let B' be the set of selected boxes, B' contains the m elements of B with the highest $MI(Z_vY)$

Compute
$$S_{y_1} = \sum_{Z_v \in B'} \left(MI(Z_vY) \times \sum \{ w_{2 \le i \le n} \mid x_i \in Z_v \cap y_1 \} \right)$$

Compute $S_{y_1} = \sum_{Z_v \in B'} \left(MI(Z_vY) \times \sum \{ w_{2 \le i \le n} \mid x_i \in Z_v \cap y_2 \} \right)$

If $S_{y_1} > S_{y_2}$: return y_1 Else: return y_2

Resulting interface

Boxes give arguments in favor one type of therapy

Physicians may choose a different option if he disagrees

Resulting interface

Boxes give arguments in favor one type of therapy

Physicians may choose a different option if he disagrees

Limited to 2-6 classes of therapy

=> Hierarchical approach dividing the decision in several smaller ones

Ontology of breast cancer therapy

We organized possible therapies in a formal ontology

OWL format

Owlready ontology-oriented programming module for Python

French book on Owlready! JB Lamy Python et les ontologies ENI editions, 2019

Hierarchical approach

Buttons allow to choose one of the two major classes of therapy
Then, the visualization is limited to the similar patients with this therapy
New classes are determined, according to the ontology

Compare Lumpectomy...

Compare Mastectomy...

Discussion and conclusion

A hierarchical visual approach for explainable therapeutical decision-making

Similar accuracy as kNN, but better explainability

Main limits:

- May require some training for the physicians
- Number of similar cases is reduced at each iteration
 - Should we extract additional cases to compensate?
- Set visualization is an interesting approach to explainable artificial intelligence (XAI)

Perspectives:

- Clinical validation and evaluation
- Adaptation to other domains
- Extension to other AI techniques (deep learning, boosting)

References

JB Lamy, B Sekar, G Guezennec, J Bouaud, B Séroussi. Explainable artificial intelligence for breast cancer: a visual case-based reasoning approach. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine 2019

JB Lamy. Artificial Feeding Birds (AFB): a new metaheuristic inspired by the behavior of pigeons. Advances in nature-inspired computing and applications 2019

JB Lamy. Owlready: Ontology-oriented programming in Python with automatic classification and high level constructs for biomedical ontologies. Artificial Intelligence in Medicine 2017;80:11-28

N Choudhury, SA Begum. A survey on case-based reasoning in medicine. International Journal of Advanced Computer Science and Applications (IJACSA) 2016

JM Schoenborn, KD Althoff. Recent Trends in XAI: A Broad Overview on current Approaches, Methodologies and Interactions. Case-Based Reasoning for the Explanation of intelligent systems (XCBR) Workshop 2019

