An iconic approach to the browsing of medical terminologies
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Abstract

Medical terminologies are the basis of interoperability in medicine. They allow connecting the various systems and data, and they facilitate search in databases. An example is the MedDRA terminology, which is used in particular for coding drug adverse events. However, these terminologies are often complex and they involve a huge number of terms. Consequently, it is difficult to browse them or to find the desired terms. Traditional approaches consist of lexical search, with the problems of synonymy and polysemy, or tree-based navigation, but the user often gets “lost” in the tree.

Here, we propose a new approach for browsing medical terminologies: the use of pictograms and icons, for formulating the query in complement of a textual search box, and for displaying the search results. We applied this approach to the MedDRA terminology. We present both the methods and search algorithms and the resulting browsing interface, as well as the qualitative opinions of two pharmacovigilance experts.
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Introduction

Medical terminologies are the basis of interoperability in medicine [1]. They allow connecting the various systems and data, and they facilitate search in databases [2]. An example is the MedDRA terminology, which is used in particular for coding drug adverse events. Traditional search approaches are either based on lexical search or on the navigation in a hierarchy [3]. However, lexical searches suffer from synonymy, polysemy and metonymy, e.g. a search with “auricular” returns terms related to both heart and ear. Navigation in a tree is usually complex and the user often gets “lost” in the tree [4]. Brown described the various difficulties encountered when performing searches in MedDRA-coded pharmacovigilance database [5].

In the literature, several authors suggested the use of pre-coordination for defining terms in terminologies, i.e. the decomposition of disorders in anatomic location and morphology, e.g. “nephritis” can be defined as an inflammation of the kidney, and post-coordination for selecting terms [6]. Bakhshi-Raiezb proposed to take advantage of the compositional aspect of the SNOMED CT terminology to facilitate the searches in the terminology [7]. Lee et al. proposed the use of post-coordinated expressions to retrieve ICD codes [8]. Third-generation, knowledge-based, terminologies aim at formalizing semantic relations and decompositions between terms, which can help with searches [9]. The use of semantic web standards such as RDF has also been proposed to facilitate data retrieval from MedDRA [10].

In previous works [11], we proposed an iconic compositional language for medical concepts. In this paper, we propose an iconic approach to the browsing of medical terminologies. Our approach uses icons at two levels: (1) for formulating the query, in combination with a traditional textual search box, and (2) for displaying the search results, by grouping similar terms using icons. This work is part of the Pegase project, which aims at helping pharmacovigilance experts with the use of the MedDRA terminology.

Methods

Material

MedDRA is a medical classification often used for coding drug adverse effects and events. It has 5 levels, from the more general to the more specific: system organ class (SOC), high-level group terms (HLGT), high-level terms (HLT), preferred terms (PT) and low-level terms (LLT). The classification is multi-axial: a term can have more than one parent. We worked on the French translation of MedDRA 19.

VCM [11] is an iconic language for representing the patient main clinical conditions, including symptoms, diseases, physiological states (e.g. age class or pregnancy), risks and history of diseases, drug and non-drug treatments, lab tests and follow-up procedures. VCM includes a set of graphical primitives (colors and pictograms) and a grammar to combine these elements for creating icons.

For representing clinical signs and disorders, a VCM icon is made of a color, a basic shape, a central pictogram and a set of modifier pictograms. The color indicates the temporal aspect of the icon: red for current states of the patient, orange for risk of future states, and brown for past states. The basic shape is a circle for physiological states or a square for pathological states (diseases or symptoms). The central pictogram indicates the anatomico-functional location (e.g. endocrine system) or the patient characteristic (e.g. pregnancy) involved; and special pictograms are available for a few specific disorders associated with a specific anatomico-functional location (e.g. diabetes for endocrine system). Modifier pictograms can be added to specify (a) a general pathological processes (e.g. tumor or infection), and (b) a “transversal” anatomical structure...
that can be present in many anatomico-functional locations (e.g. blood vessels and nerves are present in most organs).

The VCM ontology has been designed for formalizing the semantics of VCM icons [12]. This ontology includes 3 parts: (1) graphical concepts corresponding to VCM graphical primitives (i.e. the various shapes, colors and pictograms), (2) medical concepts (i.e. the main anatomical structures, biological functions, pathological processes, e.g. liver, hepatic function and inflammation, but not the various disorders, such as hepatitis), and (3) relations between the graphical and the medical concepts (e.g. the “liver” central pictogram is associated with both the liver anatomic structure and the hepatic biological function). In a previous work [13], we started to design a mapping between MedDRA and VCM.

Model

We designed an OWL ontology including: (1) all MedDRA terms with their codes, labels and parent-child relations, (2) MedDRA to VCM mapping and (3) decomposition of VCM icons in pictograms. Figure 1 shows the general model of the ontology. Figure 1 shows the general model of the resulting ontology.
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We used PyMedTermino [14] to extract the MedDRA terminology and to deal with VCM icons. The resulting OWL ontology includes 531,095 RDF triples (46 Mb) and belongs to the ALTIR(OD) family of description logics. The ontology was stored in an optimized quadstore based on a SQLite3 database, using the Owlready ontology-oriented programming module [15, 16] for Python. Owlready was used because it allows an object-oriented access to ontologies with performances similar to SQL databases. It also supports full-text search.

Search approaches

The proposed interface combines three approaches for searching terms; they are detailed in the following paragraphs.

The full-text search approach consists in searching for one or more keywords in the labels of the MedDRA terms. Each keyword has to match the beginning of one word of the term, e.g. keyword “Abs” match term “Renal abscess” but not “Bile acid malabsorption”. We used Owlready2 to perform the search, which relies on the FTSS5 implementation of Sqlite3. In the interface, keywords are entered in a search bar.

The iconic approach consists in selecting one or more pictograms, and searching for all terms that are associated with icons that include all the selected pictograms. To facilitate the selection of pictograms, we organized them on a schematic anatomical sketch, called “Mister VCM”. It shows 37 pictograms, grouped in 6 regions: the head, the hat (for social medicine), the thoughts (for psychology and addictions), the trunk, the arm (only one limb is detailed) and the etiologies. The entire VCM language has more than 150 pictograms, however, many of them are specialized versions of a more general pictogram, and “Mister VCM” shows only the general pictograms. The user can click on a pictogram to select it, and the gray pictogram is replaced by a red icon. By clicking the same pictogram again, the user can deselect it. When several pictograms are selected, we consider their intersection, i.e. the terms have to match all the selected pictograms.

The hierarchical approach considers the hierarchical structure of medical terminologies. In the present work, we limited this approach to the ability to filter MedDRA terms according to their depth level in the terminology, e.g. search only for PT, or for both PT and LLT,... The interface includes 5 checkboxes, one per MedDRA level; they allow the user selecting the desired levels.

The output of the search is a set of MedDRA terms, but also a set of VCM icons. The set of icons will be used to display the search results in a synthetic and visual way. Figure 2 gives the algorithm that combines the three search approaches. If only keywords are present in the query, we search for the terms of the desired levels whose label matches the keywords; then we search for their icons. If only pictograms are present, we search for the icons having these pictograms; then we search for the terms of the desired levels that have at least one of

```
function lexico_icono_hierarchical_search(keywords, pictograms, selected_levels):
    if only keywords (i.e. pictograms = ∅):
        terms = { t such that MedDRA(t) and match(t.label, keyword) and t.levels ∈ selected_levels }
        icons = { i such that Icon(i) and ∃ t ∈ terms with has_icon(t, i) }
    else if only pictograms (i.e. keywords = ∅):
        icons = { i such that Icon(i) and ∀ p ∈ pictograms we have has_part(i, p) }
        terms = { t such that MedDRA(t) and t.levels ∈ selected_levels and ∃ i ∈ icons with has_icon(t, i) }
        icons = { i such that i ∈ icons and ∃ t ∈ terms with has_icon(t, i) }
    else (both keywords and pictograms):
        terms = { t such that MedDRA(t) and match(t.label, keyword) and t.levels ∈ selected_levels }
        icons = { i such that Icon(i) and ∀ p ∈ pictograms we have has_part(i, p) }
        terms = { t such that t ∈ terms and ∃ i ∈ icons with has_icon(t, i) }
        icons = { i such that i ∈ icons and ∃ t ∈ terms with has_icon(t, i) }
    return (icons, terms)
```

Figure 2– Algorithm performing the lexico-icono-hierarchical search in pseudo-code. The search takes three input parameters: a set of lexical keywords, a set of pictograms, and a set of selected levels in the hierarchy (e.g. [PT, LLT]). It returns two values: the set of icons and the set of MedDRA terms to display. We used a First-order logic syntax in the algorithm, i.e. classes are unary predicates and properties are two-argument predicates, e.g. “MedDRA(t)” means that t is a MedDRA term and “has_icon(t, i)” means that t has icon i. Finally, match() is a function that performs keyword-based string comparison.
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these icons; finally, we filter the set of icons to those associated with at least one term in the resulting set of terms (due to the filtering on hierarchical levels, some icons can be associated with no terms). When both keywords and icons are present, we search for terms (taking into account keywords and levels) and for icons (taking into account pictograms) independently, and then we restrict both resulting sets to the elements associated with at least one element in the other set. In addition, the proposed algorithm was designed so as each step involves at most two classes out of the three in the model (i.e. MedDRA terms, Icons, and Pictogram). This improved performances of the search.

Displaying the search results

Search results can be numerous, therefore, we used icons to organize them. Icons are sorted by the number of associated terms. For each icon, we display a panel with the icon on the left and the list of the corresponding MedDRA terms on the right. LLT are displayed in gray and other levels in black. When more than 6 terms are present, we display only the first 5 ones (in alphabetical order) and the total number of terms; the entire list of terms can be obtained by clicking on the panel. Whenever a given term is associated with more than one icon in the resulting set of icons, the term appears in several panels (one per icon), with a gray label indicating the number of icons (e.g. “2 icons”). By mouse-hovering this label, the user can see a popup bubble showing all the icons. Moreover, when there are more than 10 icons, we reduce the list of icons by removing all icons that are more specific than another. In fact, the VCM ontology defines is-a relation between icons. For example, the icon “renal infection” is more specific than “renal disorder” and “infection”. The terms associated with the removed icons are re-associated with their parent icon (if several parents are present, the terms are associated with all of them and will be displayed in several panels, as previously). When the panel of the parent icon is clicked, the terms initially associated with the parent icon are displayed, as well as other panels for the child icons.

Preliminary evaluation

As a preliminary evaluation, we showed the proposed interface to two pharmacovigilance experts working daily with the MedDRA terminology. We gathered their opinion and their comments using a qualitative form including the following 7 general questions: Is the interface useful for finding MedDRA terms when coding a new case? when gathering codes for performing exhaustive search in a pharmacovigilance database? Do you search mainly at the PT level or the LLT level? Is the iconic search interesting? alone ? in combination with the lexical search? Is a training necessary for using VCM icons? Results

Iconic interface for browsing MedDRA

Figure 3 shows a screenshot of the proposed interface. On the left, the user can enter keywords, click pictograms on “Mister VCM” and select the desired MedDRA levels. These three operations can be done in the order of his choice. The proposed system has good performances: even when searching over the largest part of MedDRA (the tumor pictogram), the response time does not exceed 0.6 second. Figure 3 shows the results of an iconic search with the “eye” pictogram.
ure 4 shows an example of such a panel, obtained after clicking on the “allergic inflammation of eye” icon on Figure 3 (it is the icon marked with a green dot). The panel shows 2 more specific child icons: “allergic inflammation of the optic nerve” and “allergic edema of eye”.

**Figure 4**– Screenshot of the panel shown when clicking the “allergic inflammation of eye” icon in Figure 3 (the one marked with a green dot).

Figure 5 shows an example of a search mixing lexical and iconic queries. We wanted to search for abscess in the urinary tract. “Abscess” is a clearly defined and specific keyword, so we typed it in the lexical search box. On the contrary, “urinary tract” is more general: many synonyms exist and it also includes several subparts (e.g. ureter). Thus, we clicked on the “kidney” pictogram that represents the urinary system. The results show 2 icons with 17 terms.

**Figure 5**– Screenshot of a lexico-iconic search: we searched for PT and LLT in MedDRA with keyword “abscess” and pictogram “urinary”.

When a term is clicked, it is displayed in a page with its label, its MedDRA code, its icons and its position in the MedDRA hierarchy, including ancestors and children terms. Ancestors and children can be clicked. As a consequence, the proposed interface also allows a classical tree-based navigation in the terminology.

**Expert opinions**

The purely iconic search was not found very interesting by the two experts. On the contrary, the combination of lexical and iconic search was described by them as very interesting, for example when dealing with synonymy (e.g. “auricular” keyword + heart pictogram to avoid auricular terms referring to ear) or for increasing the sensibility of the search, since most pictograms are rather general. This second point makes our interface particularly appealing to them when gathering codes for performing an exhaustive search. Moreover, the ability to select the depth level allows starting at high level and then going down to lower levels if there is no result at the higher level.

On the contrary, when coding a new case, experts have to “stick” to the diagnostic mentioned in the case, and thus lexical search through LLT is more appropriate. The iconic interface is thus less interesting for them, however, one expert qualified VCM icons as an “Esperanto of medical language”. She considered that the iconic interface would be very useful for clinical research associates in the industry, because they are often non-specialists (i.e. not physician or pharmacist) and they could benefit from the guidance of icons: they may help to clearly identify the anatomic location or the morphology of a complex pathology, e.g. VCM icons explicitly represent “glomerulonephritis” as an inflammation of a part of the kidney while it may not be obvious for a non-specialist. In addition, many of them have to use MedDRA in English, even if it is not their native language.

Finally, both experts considered that a training is needed before using VCM icons.

**Discussion**

In this paper, we proposed an original approach for browsing and searching medical terminologies, using pictograms and icons in addition to lexical keywords and terms. We applied this new approach to MedDRA. The resulting tool was found interesting by pharmacovigilance experts, in particular for gathering terms before performing an exhaustive search over a pharmacovigilance database, and for non-specialist users.

“Mister VCM” was initially designed to gather and organize a small set (5-50) of VCM icons. Here, we used it in a very different way, for allowing the selection of one or more pictograms in order to formulate a query in a visual way. This is the first time we use VCM for querying purpose.

The icon enables a semantic search in the terminology. For example, the heart pictogram allows searching for all cardiac-related terms, without having to deal with the problems of synonymy or polysemy, while a lexical search would have to consider the many existing synonyms: “heart”, “cardiac”, “card-”, but also parts of the heart, such as “ventricular” or “coronary”, and polysemy, e.g. “cardia” is not related to the heart but to stomach, despite its short lexical distance with “cardiac” would suggest.

Some medical terminologies, have an ontological structure that could be used for performing semantic search. For example, SNOMED CT relates all disorders to their finding site. These relations could be used to find all disorders related to heart (including heart subparts). However, the huge number of concepts in SNOMED CT makes this approach difficult and tedious to use. Moreover, ontological definitions are usually stricter than a human expert would expect, especially when performing broad search: e.g. in SNOMED CT, pericardium is
not included in the heart because, strictly speaking, it is not a part of the heart. On the contrary, VCM pictograms are far less numerous, and they have broader definition: e.g. the heart pictogram also covers heart-related structure such as pericardium.

In the literature, Massari et al., proposed meta-terms based on medical specialties to enrich terminologies and facilitate searches [17]. Meta-terms can be combined with other types of search. This approach is similar to the one we proposed here, but not iconic or visual.

Conclusions

Icons are a new, promising, approach for browsing and searching medical terminologies. The perspectives of this work are twofold. First, the proposed interface will be properly evaluated in pharmacovigilance settings, using quantitative methods. Second, it could also be adapted to other medical terminologies such as ICD10 or SNOMED CT, and used for helping physicians to associate coded terms to their patients. Applications in medical education are also possible.
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