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Introduction

New drugs can improve patient health, but require careful evaluation and comparison with older drugs in clinical trials. But trials can be impaired by various biases and weaknesses. We present here a system for automatically detecting some biases, design flaws and weaknesses in clinical trial results.

Methods

We designed an ontology modeling the results of comparative clinical trials, using OWL (Ontology Web Language) and the Protégé editor. Then, we defined a list of weaknesses detectable from the literature and we implemented the detection of these weaknesses in OWL, SWRL rules or Python scripts. Finally, the system was evaluated on a new drug with 5 trials, and the detected weaknesses were presented to 4 experts.

Results & discussion

The ontology was represented in the OWL-DL language (67 classes, 62 properties, 49 individuals, 574 axioms and 7 rules, SHOIQ(D) DL family). The detection of 13 weaknesses has been implemented. Experts agreed on the weaknesses detected during evaluation.

We have shown that it was possible to automatically detect some biases and weaknesses in clinical trial results. Our perspectives include a more comprehensive evaluation, the detection of additional weaknesses and the connection of the system to trial registries and drug databanks.

Exemples of bias and weakness

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Crossover study for evolutive or non-stable disorder</th>
<th>OWL: Study and (has_for Intervention model value crossover) and (targets indication some (has_for disorder some Evolutive disorder))</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Study with none of the indication's reference criterion</td>
<td>SWRL: Study(?stud), target indication(?indic), has_for reference criterion(?indic, ?crit), has_for comparison(?stud, ?comp), has_for result(?comp, ?res), has_for criterion(?res, ?crit) -&gt; Study without this weakness (?stud). Python script for asserting the weakness when its absence was not proven (negation as failure)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Comparison of non-comparable dosages (new drug at high dose vs old one at low dose)</td>
<td>Python script (due to the requirement of multiple negations as failure)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>